



ASSESSMENT FORM FOR SHADOW JUDGING

LŽSF NATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAM
FOR DRESSAGE OFFICIALS

Full name of the candidate:					
The candidate is attempting to reach level... (circle please)	L1	L2	L3		
Name of the competition:					
Place and date:					
Level of the class: (circle please)	E	A	L	M	S
Name of the dressage test:					
Number of competitors in class:					
Name of the mentor judge:					
Level of the mentor judge: (circle please)	LTU L3	FEI Level 1	FEI Level 2	FEI Level 3	FEI Level 4

1. Please describe the appearance and behaviour of the candidate:

(i.e., appropriately dressed, well on time, professional behaviour, shadow judging arranged well in advance, etc.)

2. Did the candidate have a good knowledge of the test?

3. How did the candidate place the competitors in relation to the placing of the mentor judge?

Emphasis on the 50% best placed combinations. Judging that would have changed the placing of the top combinations, is considered a mistake.

4. What is the spread of percentage between the first and last placed rider in relation to the scores of the mentor judge?

	1st place:	Last place:
Percentage of the mentor judge:		
Percentage of the candidate:		

5. Were there any differences in individual percentages awarded to the combinations between the candidate and the mentor? If so, how often and why?

3% difference is allowed, 5% difference requires an explanation, 7% difference or more is considered a mistake. More emphasis for the top placed combinations.

6. Were the marks for individual movements accurate? What were the differences (if any) with the marks of the mentor judge? If mistakes were made, how many times?

Missing a fundamental problem (i.e. error of course, lameness), failing to give any mark for a movement, or marking differences of 2 or more marks are considered a mistake. 1.5 mark differences need an explanation, 1 mark differences are allowed.

7. Are the candidate's remarks clear, appropriate, based on technical language and reflect the marks given?

The candidate must write remarks every time a mark of 5 or lower is given. Remarks for higher marks are appreciated.

8. Is the candidate using the whole range of marks from 0 to 10? What is the spread between high and low marks in the same test? An excessive use of 6.5 is not appreciated.

9. Does the candidate have a good knowledge of the dressage rules?

10. Other remarks:

Outcome of the shadow judging: (circle please)	PASSED	NOT PASSED
Full name and signature of the mentor judge:		

N.B.! All shadow judging attempts must be reported by filling this form and returned to the LŽSF via email dailiojojimokomitetas@gmail.com with a copy to info@equestrian.lt within 2 weeks of the competition, regardless of the outcome.